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# DAF Gateway Review

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program** | [Program name] |
| **Review type** | Gate 2 |
| **Phase** | [Insert Phase] |
| **Next Gate** | [Gate/HC etc] |
| **Tier** | [Insert Tier] |
| **Agency** | [Insert Agency] |
| **Sponsor** | [Insert Sponsor Name and title] |
| **Email** | [Insert Sponsor email] |

# Program background

Highlight relevant background context behind the project, and briefly explain the purpose and overall design of the project. This section should be up to 3-4 brief paragraphs.

**Objectives**

List the objectives of the project in a short, single line, bullet point form:

* Example
* Example
* Example

**Expected strategic benefits**

List the strategic benefits in a short, bullet point list:

* Example
* Example
* Example

**Estimated Total Cost**

$ xx.xx (including contingency)

**Schedule**

Project start date: XX/XX/20XX

Project end date: XX/XX/20XX

**Previous Assurance Activities**

[Explain when the project was listed under the DAF, at what tier, and if it has been endorsed by ISSI. State if the project has previously undergone an DAF review.]

**Current Project Status as of: XX/XX/20XX**

[Context of recent major updates and developments from project team to be inserted here based on recent reports and any change request.]

# Timing of DAF Gate 2 Review

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Activity | Dates |
| Documents uploaded to SharePoint | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Interview schedule to be uploaded to SharePoint | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Planning Meeting (1.5 hours)  Note: Sponsor attendance is mandatory | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Interviews (3 days)  NOTE: 1st interview is with the Sponsor, and end of day debriefs (15-30min) with Sponsor on each interview day | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Report Writing by Review team (2 days) | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Sponsor Debrief on Review Recommendations (1 hour)  Note: Sponsor attendance is mandatory | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Draft Report to Sponsor/Project Team for fact check | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Fact Check Response & Close Out Plan received from Sponsor/Project Team | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Review of Fact Check Response & Close Out Plan by Review Team | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |
| Final Report issued | [Enter Day XX/XX/202X] |

The above schedule is subject to agency confirmation. May be extended if the Review Team determines during the review that additional time is required.

For detail steps and timeframes of Gate 2 review, refer to Appendix A.

# Terms of reference

## Purpose and objectives

**Purpose**

A Gate 2 happens after a project has been registered on the DCS portal and once the project has developed a comprehensive business case. The business case must be approved by the sponsor before the Gate 2 review can take place.

The purpose is to ensure that the business case is robust and there are outline plans to realise benefits and align with strategic imperatives, investment principles and enterprise architecture. Also to ensure funding model to operate is sustainable for whole of life, and cyber, privacy and AI implications are understood, and assurance addressed in the BC.

**Objective**

This Gate 2: Business Case review is designed to:

* Confirm the full Business Case;
* Confirm the objectives and desired outputs of the project align with the program to which it contributes;
* Ensure the delivery strategy is robust and appropriate;
* Ensure the project’s plan to completion is detailed and realistic, including any contract management strategy;
* Ensure project controls and organisation are defined, financial controls in place and resources are available;
* Confirm funding availability;
* Confirm the development and delivery approach and mechanisms are still appropriate and manageable;
* Confirm appropriate project performance measures and tools;
* Confirm there are plans for risk management and issues management (business and technical) and that these will be shared with suppliers and/or delivery partners;
* Confirm quality procedures are applied consistently since the previous review;
* Confirm compliance with IT and Information Security requirements and standards;
* Confirm organisational resources and capabilities will be available for future phases;
* Confirm the stakeholders support the project;
* Evaluate actions to implement recommendations from earlier reviews;
* Confirm if stakeholder, change management and communications plans are adequate;
* Ensure the project has a formal active governance structure with escalation hierarchy;
* Confirm detailed cost/benefit analysis with contingencies considered; and
* Ensure a strategy to plan and manage the benefits the project will deliver.

Detail checklist of Gate 2 review objectives can be found in [Resources for agencies and expert reviewers | Digital NSW](https://www.digital.nsw.gov.au/policy/digital-assurance/resources-for-agencies-and-expert-reviewers) - Gate 2 Guideline (Section 4.7)

By the Gate 2 stage, the project team would have developed a full business case that includes detailed costings and budget allocations, along with a comprehensive benefits realization plan outlining the expected business benefits. A detailed list of typical project documentation for Gate 2 review can be found in [Resources for agencies and expert reviewers | Digital NSW](https://www.digital.nsw.gov.au/policy/digital-assurance/resources-for-agencies-and-expert-reviewers) - Gate 2 Guideline (Section 7.7).

The Business case should:

* Alignment to Government Enterprise Architecture
* Demonstrate that funding model to operate is sustainable for whole of life
* Cyber, Privacy and AI implications are understood, and assurance addressed in the BC

Details scope for considerations can be found in [Resources for agencies and expert reviewers | Digital NSW](https://www.digital.nsw.gov.au/policy/digital-assurance/resources-for-agencies-and-expert-reviewers) - Gate 2 Guideline (Section 7.2).

Once complete the review should clearly answer these questions:

* Will the project deliver value for money?
* Is the money being invested wisely?

## Scope

The scope of a Gate 2 review will cover areas such as:

1. Assessment of delivery approach
2. Business case and stakeholders
3. Risk management
4. Review of current phase
5. Readiness for next phase
6. Alignment to Government Enterprise Architecture
7. Assessment of Cyber, Privacy and AI compliance requirements

The review may report and extend into areas not explicitly stated within the Terms of Reference, where this will increase the likelihood of program success, upon agreement with the agency.

## Areas of Sponsor Concern

List any comments made by the sponsor they wish to investigate in the review.

## Out of Scope

List any areas that may be out of scope for the project.

# Review team

## Review Panel

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Review Panel | Role |
| [Enter Reviewer name] | Review Team Lead |
| [Enter Reviewer name – remove row if not used] | Review Team Member |
| [Enter Reviewer name – remove row if not used] | Review Team Member |

## Documentation

The Review Panel Team will need to review all relevant project documentation. The information is likely to be found in the documents indicated in the Gate 2 Guideline document (refer link below) under the section “Gate 2 Review: Typical project documentation”, but may also be located in other project documents.

Link: [Resources for agencies and expert reviewers | Digital NSW](https://www.digital.nsw.gov.au/policy/digital-assurance/resources-for-agencies-and-expert-reviewers) - Gate 2 Guideline

## Interviewees

The following interviews are proposed to be requested by the Review Team as required:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Interviewee | Agency | Position |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Sponsor |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Project Director |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | CIO/Program Technical Director |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Program Finance Lead |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Director Change Management |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Director EPMO |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | Strategy Lead |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | [Enter Role/Position] |
| [Enter Title/Name] | [Enter Agency] | [Enter Role/Position] |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Approver | Name | Signature | Date |
| [Enter Title/Role] | [Enter Name] |  |  |

# Appendix A

Gateway Reviews for Gate 2: Follow the steps and timeframes shown in the table below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Step | Activity | |
| **1** | **Entry Criteria**  Prior to the Gate 1 or 2 the project must be registered in the ICT portal– DSIA, *this is a prerequisite for initiating a Gate 2review. (It should be undertaken at least 6 weeks before initiating a Gate 2 ).*  6 weeks prior to the Gateway commencement date, the Delivery (or Accountable) Agency checks readiness of the project for the Gate 2 Review and contacts the Gateway Coordination Agency (GCA).  *(Note the DCS Assurance team will also monitor the likely timeframe through the regular assurance catch ups each month.)* | **6 weeks  + prior**  **A group of blue people  Description automatically generated** |
| **2** | GCA Review Manager (Digital Assurance) and Delivery (or Accountable) Agency confirm the Review Dates.  (Dates must consider key stakeholder availability including the Sponsor) |
| **3** | GCA Review Manager appoints an independent Reviewer Team to the review. (As per the expert reviewer panel appointment process.) |
| **4** | GCA Review Manager conducts a briefing with the Delivery (or Accountable) Agency to gain a common understanding of the project’s status, identify any supporting documentation required and provide guidance on how to complete the Gate 2 readiness checklist template. | **1 Month prior**  **Preparation / Planning**  **TOR**  **A blue and black file  Description automatically generated** |
| **5** | The Delivery (or Accountable) Agency complete the Gate 2 *readiness checklist template* with input from key Agency stakeholders. (New document to assist the Agency and DCS Assurance team to prepare for the Gateway.)  A draft Terms of Reference (ToR) is also completed at this time by the GCA Review Manager (Digital Assurance), this is shared with the Agency to refine.  *The project sponsor to agree/sign off.* |
| **6** | The Delivery (or Accountable) Agency provide the Reviewer Team with the readiness checklist and provide supporting documentation to the allocated secure shared drive location. | **Finalise Plan  and Conduct**  **A blue square with three dots  Description automatically generated** |
| **7** | **Pre-Planning**  GCA Review Manager meets with the Independent Review Team to jointly review the Terms of Reference for the Gate 2 and if additional documentation is considered then the request can be made for this as well the key interviewees. |
| **8** | **Planning formal Kick off**  This starts with the kick-off meeting where the sponsor and delegates outline the project to be assessed and any key background needed to provide context.  High level run through of the Gateway process, roles and responsibilities  Documentation requirements are confirmed, and interview are scheduled and confirmed |
| **9** | **Review Week**  **Entry criteria**   * TOR Approved, * All documentation is loaded and available to the team, * All interviews are scheduled and confirmed, MS Teams Channel for Review teams set up and tested.   Interview week commences and the scheduled interviews are undertaken by the Gateways reviewers.  Up to 18 interviews could be held over this time with the Independent Review team  The Review team complete the interviews and maintain feedback to the sponsor daily or as deemed appropriate. | **Review week**  **A blue and black logo  Description automatically generated** |
| **10** | The draft findings are prepared using the Gate 2 Reporting Template. *Noting the Scope items need to all be addressed including core areas of focus.*  The draft report is shared with Digital Assurance for initial QA  Sponsors debrief is undertaken to outline the findings – this is a confidential meeting directly with sponsor.  Report circulated to the Agency for fact check post Sponsor Debrief.  Attention is to be given to Cyber, Privacy and now AI impact on the plan. | **Reporting**  **A blue and black folder  Description automatically generated** |
| **11** | Post Review survey sent out to Delivery (or Accountable) Agency, Reviewer Team and GCA Review Manager. |
| **12** | Close-out Plan issued and managed by DCS ICT Digital Investment and Assurance (IDIA) | **Post Review**  **Within 4 weeks of report issue**  **Post Review Activities**  **A blue check mark in a star shape  Description automatically generated** |
| **13** | **Post Review Activities**  Record Critical and Essential issues for ongoing assurance follow up – note the Delivery (or Accountable) Agency will need to provide adequate evidence of item closure.  Critical rated items need to be closed before the clearance letter can be issued.  *This clearance can impact approval of funding*  Charge back to be completed and reviewer invoice payment completed |

This table can also be found in [Resources for agencies and expert reviewers | Digital NSW](https://www.digital.nsw.gov.au/policy/digital-assurance/resources-for-agencies-and-expert-reviewers) - Gate 2 Guideline (Section 1.8).
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